App. F. IX-4

Previous References
Genl 15-94 (1-9)
" 33-95-1

Later References

193 .. (Genl)

File No. 63-97
Serial Nos. 1-18

preserve

(A)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. Eight.

Part B for Awards

SUBJECT.

Preservation of Ordinary line - stone and Pankari line - stone throughout the State

---

D. 2010-97 & 10-A-30

To Dir of Geol Ch. Insp of Mines.
Dir Ir C — ii Port Commrs
Ch. Const of Rts
Dy. Commrs of Districts

Passed Book &c

KJ

G. R S. 11] 1927 - 8

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Rev

NOTES.

Rev File No. 63-27

FILE HEADING.

Reservation of Kankery lime-stone & limestones

From

The Dir. of Rev

No. 2123-4 Dated 24-11-27 Received

diary No. 4184	Enclos. 3	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommends the preservation of Kankery Lime-stone & Limestones

NOTES AND ORDERS.

P

the question of grant of licences for limestone came up before Govt, and in G.O no G. 23401-13/Rev. 12-19-5 & 12-6-1920 clear instructions were issued on ~~the subject~~. (Para 5 of G.O. 8/12-6-20).

NOTES—First Sheet.

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Genl File No. 63 of 1927.

Serial No.	
	2) In the subsequent orders of Govt. re reservation of minerals the powers vested in the Forest Deptt. as regards the issue of permits &c. to collect Limestone have not been withdrawn; & no reference is made to the G.O of April 1920 referred to in para (1)
Y, O & R	3) In July 1924, when the Rev. Conf. made a reference as regards the disposal of Kankar (one of the 3 varieties of limestone), Govt. passed orders reserving this variety as well, and Rule 18 of the Land Revenue Rules was also amended (Genl. 15-24 - page 12 of Notifn - Notifn no. R. 5262-L&L 287-24-1 dt 7.3.25.)
M	4) Since the need of the three varieties, viz. Kankary Limestone is required for the Nagpore Improvement works, the Dir. of Genl. has submitted proposals for its reservation along with the remaining variety viz. Limestone. In case the Govt order of 12-6-20 is withdrawn & the mineral treated as "reserved"
Para 6 of p.m 2	

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 0321—GPB—60,000—31-5-27

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Ext 2 File No. 63 of 1927.

Serial No.	
	the departments of the Govt, referd. to in para 4 & 5 of G.O.C.D. dated 27/4/27
	5) For orders
	6) The Dir of Geology recommends the withdrawal of G.O. dated 12th April 1920 specific reservation of Kankary limestone & lime-stone in all parts of the State (including State forests), for the reasons stated in his letter.
	7) The recommendation may be approved, (if necessary, after consulting the Revenue Commissioner & the Chief Conr of Forests) Sec'y. D) WD 6/12/27
10/12	8) Please call for the opinion of Rev Comr & the C.C of Forests WD 27/12/27 WD 27/12
	9) Draft sub WD 4/1/28 WD 10/1/28
2	To the Rev Comr. & Cle: Conservator of Forests R D. 7646-17 & 23-1-28

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 0321—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

4164
9151, 9153

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. G.O. 2 File No. 63 of 1927.

Serial No.	
	Forwards copy of letter No. 2123-4 dt 14.11.27 from the Dir. of Geol. & requests him to furnish his opinion

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
24 JAN. 1928
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

3.	From The Rev. Commr. No. C. 3205/27-28, dt $\frac{27}{30}$-4-28. States That it is not possible for The Revenue officers to understand the ~~distinct~~ distinction betn the 3 classes of lime stones, and That Quantities removed by ryots are small & That areas wherever lime suitable for Bhadravati iron works are found may be reserved ~~fo~~ by the Govt & That he is not in favour of introducing the restrictions in the case of limes which will harass the people
4.	From The Chief Conservator of Forests. No D4-2434-27 dt 30.6.28 States That his dept has no objection for the reservation of Kankery areas limes after the expiry of the present sale, which closes on 30-6-28

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 0321—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat. Geol File No. 63 of 1927

4184
9051
9153

Serial No. 6

He further states That the restriction may inconvenience some people in Hulugan who are eking their livelihood and suggests That the opinion of the D. C. Tumkur maybe obtained.

11) Submitted for perusal and orders

y) The opinion of the D. C. Tumkur may also be obtained ~~Consulted~~ in reserving blackery limestone

GR 26/5

3. 5) The opinion of the Dep^y Com^r of D^ts may perhaps be ascertained.

Sec^y D)

WP 14/5

4) 17/5

WP 17/5

5) Draft Calling for the opinion of the D.C's of districts is submitted for appl., with refce to para 3 above.

GR 26/5

6/ Issue

WP 26/5

5 10 The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

No. D 12939-46 Ag 6 & 28.

I am directed to forward herewith copy of the letter of the Govt of Geol on the matter of reservation of blackery limestone and limestone, and requests to furnish their opinion.

Secy

Notes Contra Sheet

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.
8 JUNE 1928
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

WD 0824—GPB—60,000—21-5-27

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Ext File No. 63 11533 of 1927

Serial No.	
6	From the Dy: Comd. Bangalore no. C.6-5286/27-28 dt 13.6.28 Requests for a supply of G.O. G.2340-13/Genl.12-19-5 dt 12-6-20 to enable him to furnish his opinion on the point raised 7/ Draft put up. 20 18/6/28 87 Issue WB 18/6.
7	To the Dy. Comd. Bangalore No. I.13277 dt 21-6-28 forwards copy of G.O. referred to in Sl. 6.
8	From the Dy: Comd. Kadur no. Ab-3410/27-28 dt 15 6/28 Requests that a copy of letter forwarded Rev Comd 'made ~~in 1926~~ re preservation of Kankary limestone may be sent. 9/ Dft. forwarding the same Subd 20 22/6/28 107 Issue WB 22/6

NOTE.—Contn. sheet

7

General and Revenue Secretariat. Genl File No. 63 of 1927

Serial No.

9 To the Dy Comr. Kadur
No D.O. D. 13433 dt 26/6/28
forwards copy of letter No
1139/23-24 dt 14/18 July 1924 from
the Asst: Comr. in reply
from the Dy: Comr. Kadur
No. L. Dis. 3410/27-8 dt 6.7.28
furnishing his opinion
11) Replies from the other Dys: Comrs
are due. If no replies are
recd. from them before the end of
the current month reminders
will be issued

20 10/7/28 12/ All right
WD
18/7

13/ Draft reminder to the Dy Comrs
except Kadur is put up

sdg 4.8.28. 14/ Issue
WD
9/8

Reminder issued
No. D. 2028-34 dt 16.8.28

In reply Dy: Comr. Hassan
No. L.R. 1428-29 dt 17/8/28
states that the 2 minerals
may be reserved by Govt.
may be reserved by Govt.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
26 JUN 1928
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
17 AUG. 1928
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

W D 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

~~1500~~

1665

8

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Genl File No. 63 of 1927.

Serial No.

15) Reminders to the Dy: Comrs (except Kadur) of districts were issued only on 9.8.28.

16) Reply recd. from D.C. Hassan is filed. for perusal. the other replies ~~may be~~ will, if useful be awaited. for orders

MG 21/8/28 VS 17) yes WG 22/8

Genl A

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
22 AUG. 1928
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

12 from D.C. Mysore

no. 86-4427/27 dt 22.8.28

states ~~that~~ for reasons, state that Kankerghinsalore may be reserved and notordinary Limestone

18) for perusal. Replies from other Dy. Comrs. are due.- (Refer:- Paras 16 & 17)

MG 24/8/28 VS 19) Please remind them by the end of this month. WG 25/8

13 from the Dy: Comr. Bangalore

no. C6-5236/27-28 dt 27.8.28

states that Limestone found in State forests may be reserved to Govt. & the system of leasing them out may be stopped in view

Genl A

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
25 AUG. 1928
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

872
2616

9

App. E. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Genl File No. 63 of 1927

Serial No.

of the importance of the minerals for use in larger industries

2) The opinion must be received from the Dy Comr. Bangalore, while he considered after the replies of the concerned.

A 1) Reminders to other Dy Comrs. who have not issued statements

dg 1/9/28 VS A. 27) Yes

WP 4/9

23 2) Reminder to the Dy: Comrs of Tumkur, Chitty: & Shimoga on the issued.

Secy B.E.: 15/9/28

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
4 SEP. 1928
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

dg 29.9.28 M. D 3758-60 / Genl-63-27 — dy 29-9-28

14 from the Dy: Comr. Kolar

no. L. Dis. C. 2418/27-28 dg 26/9/28

stated that, the exploration of Kankary limestone is exclusively to Govt. may deprive the ryots of their privilege of removing it in small quantities & suggests that they may be permitted for their domestic purposes to remove for their domestic in some limited quantity

24) Replies called for from the Dy Comrs sup to in para 23 may be awaited

25) for orders

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
2 - OCT. 1928
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

dg 1/10/28 VS 26) WP 1/10

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—15-4-28

S-168

10

App. F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Estt. File No. 63 of 1927

Serial No.	
	3rd reminder to Dy Comrs Tirunelveli & Tuticorin issued (M.D. SG 80-1 dy 27-11-28)
15	From the Dy Conservator Srivaikundam Rc. A-2. G.R. 12544/28-29 dy 24/11/28 states that bona fide lime manufacturers may be permitted to remove limestone outside the State forest, free of royalty, as hitherto in vogue.
	27/ Urgent reminders to the Dy Comrs: of Shencottah & Tuticorin have been issued recently & their replies may be awaited for orders. 29.11.28 Sir Low 7/12 4/12/28
16	From the Dy. Conservator Shencottah. Rc. No. Dis. A2. C. 1923/27-28 dy 26/12/28 states that there is no objection for the reservation by Govt. of Kanthari Lime stone & Lime stone
	28/ Reply from D. C. Tuticorin stone is due 29/12/29

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT. 29 DEC 1928 DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

2.1.29

MWD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

5168
9130

App. E. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Geol. File No. 63 of 1927.

Serial No.

17 From the D. G. Kurnool. No. 81-2991-27 d. 21.4.30. It is that Kankary limestone & limestone may continue to be assessed as suggested by the Director of Geology Replies from all the D. G.'s have been received as call desired in paras 3 & 4 of notes. Submitted for orders.

NAV. 10.5.30.

Please put up the rules under the L. R. Code & also the various orders referred to in the C. M. O. (Letter of Dir. of Geology 3/4. 11. 27)

CA 21.5.30

It is stated that copies of the Revenue Rules are exhausted and are being printed. A copy of the L. R. Rules available in the Branch is traced and put up for sanction together with a spare copies of the subsequent amendment to Rules 18 & 20 of the Rules sanctioned in the file. The various G.O.'s referred to in the Director's letter are already in the file and are flagged.

Submitted for orders.

NAV. 6.6.30.

The Dir. of Geology wants the G.O. of 12.4.1920 (Flag D) to be withdrawn as, according to subsequent orders (G.O. X) limestone is a reserved mineral. He has explained

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

General and Revenue Secretariat | Genl. File No. 63 of 1927

Serial No.

the difference between limestone, kankari limestone & lime kankar (see paras 14 to 22 of file No. 15-24 placed below) and recommends that the first two kinds may be reserved while the third, which is chiefly used by the villagers, need not be reserved.

As regards para 3 of the G.O. of 12.4.20 no action seems to be necessary as Rule 18 of the L.R. Rules has already been amended by including limestone & kankari limestone (vide page 12 of the file 15-24). A circular direction may also be made vis-a-vis regard to para 4 of the order, to what only "lime kankar" may be licensed by the Forest Dept. This would be in consonance with the trend of the opinion of the Ld. & the D.C'n. Secy. D

Ca 16/6/30

17/6

Please put up file as above for consideration

4.7.30

Ca 5/7

Draft order sent

MW 18/19.7.30

The order proposed relates to the Forest Dept. If approved the file will be sent to the Forest Section of the Sec'n for forwarding the draft if needed

Please type draft as revised

Ca 21/7/30

DO 19.7.30

Typed draft sent

MW DO 21.7.30

WD 02328—GPB—10,000—22-4-30

9130 9'3' 13

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 63 of 1927

Serial No.

Dft. for approval

Secy

Ce 1/8/30

1st Member

2nd Member

Revenue Secy

7/8/30 Ce 7/8

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL No. 926

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 13 AUG. 1930 No. 1367

R8 7/8/30 Ce 8/8

Mule 13/8/30 Ce 13/8

Ce 15/8

18 To the Director of Geology, Chief Inspector of Mines, Director of Ag & I, Revenue Commr., Chief Conservator of Forests & D. C.'s of Dts.

No. D-2010-22 d. 18-8-30. Passes orders regarding the reservation of ordinary Limestone & Kankari Limestone throughout the State.

B

7-11-30

GEN. & REV. SECTT. DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 02328—GPB—10,000—22-4-30

Encl. 63-27-1

M.G.D. 12.]

Dated, Bangalore,

No. 2123-4 Encl 3 | 14-11-1927

Reply to note 15/11/27 Encl 15/4

FROM

P. SAMPAT IYENGAR, ESQ,. M.A.,
Director, Mysore Geological Department.

GEN. & REV. DEPTT.
15 NOV. 1927
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

To

N. Rama Rao, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Departments.

SIR,

I have the honour to forward herewith for the information of Government copies of the marginally noted correspondence with the District Forest Officer, Tumkur, regarding the auctioning of limestone in the Kudrekanave State Forest. The District Forest Officer states that the sale of limestone was effected in pursuance of G.O.No.G.23401-13,Geol.12-19-5,dated 12th April 1920. So far, the District Forest Officer's action appears to be regular.

1. Memo No.1446-7,dated 22/24.9.27, from the District Forest Officer, Tumkur District.
2. Letter No.2123-1,dated 28.9.27, to the District Forest Officer.
3. Letter No.1589,dated 4/7.10.27, from the District Forest Officer.

2) But there are other orders of Government directing the reservation of limestone throughout the State. These are -

i) No.G.24557-62 Geol.47-18-11,dated 29th April 1920, directing the reservation of limestone among other minerals.

ii) No.I.C.2941-6 Geol.47-22-5,dated 6th November 1922, withdrawing the reservation in the above except in the case of limestone and a few others. (Para 4 of G.O.)

iii) I.C.4728-34 Geol.47-22-8, dated 29th January 1923, reiterating the reservation of limestone, inter alia, throughout the State. (P

iv) Notification No.R.5442 L.R.287-24-1, dated 7th August 1925, adding a Note to Rule 18 of the Revenue Rules directing the removal of limestone from the list of ordinary minerals and explainin

2)

explaining that Kankar includes lime kankar but not Kankary limestone.

3) From the above orders it is clear that it is the intention of Government that limestone including Kankary limestone throughout the State should be reserved in the interest of industrial concerns and for the needs of Government. Accordingly this Department has not been entertaining applications for limestone or Kankary limestone in any area.

4) In March 1927 a reference was made to this office by the District Forest Officer, Chitaldrug, asking as to whether the auctioning of limestone available in Kudrekanave State Forest of Hiriyur range would be regular and he was informed in reply that limestone was a mineral, that its working was governed by the rules for the grant of mining concessions and that it was reserved for Government. At the same time a sample of the material proposed to be auctioned was got and on examination it was found that it was Kankary limestone.

6) But in the case of the Tumkur District the District Forest Officer after effecting the auction and confirming the same has only intimated the fact to this office as required in the G.O. of 12th April 1920. The area from which the limestone is to be got is the same Kudrekanave State Forest - a contamination of the Hiriyur Forest, the limestone found wherein is found to be Kankary limestone of very good quality. The action of the District Forest Officer of Tumkur cannot however be questioned since the G.O. of 12th April 1920 has not been withdrawn.

5) Kankary limestone, it may be stated here, is very much in demand for the Mysore Iron Works at Bhadravati and is preferred to limestone itself for various reasons.

7) In the above circumstances it is requested that steps be taken to withdraw the order of 12th April 1920 and direct the reservation of Kankary limestone and limestone found in all State Forests. It is necessary to make it known that the three

substances

substances Kankar, Kankary limestone and Limestone are different in nature and that the latter two are to be regarded as reserved by Government. There is no objection to permits or licenses being given by the District Forest Officers for the collection and removal of Kankar in any area.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sampat Iyengar

Director,
Mysore Geological Department.

C.N.

Memo No.1446-7, dated 22nd/24th September 1927, from the District Forest Officer, Tumkur District.

The auction sale of the right of collecting and removing Limestone from the Kudarekanive Extension State Forest, up to the 30th June 1928, which was held by the undersigned at Huliyur camp, on the 10th instant, and in which one Hyder Sab of Huliyur became the highest bidder for Rs.150/-, is hereby confirmed. The Range Officer, Bukkapatna will take action to recover the balance sale amount and obtain a muchhalika from the purchaser, embodying the conditions of sale and then put him in possession of the 'bab'.

Sd. B. Narasimhaiengar,

District Forest Officer,
Tumkur District.

Copy with the sale records is forwarded to the Range Forest Officer, Bukkapatna Range for necessary action and early report.

Sd. B. Narasimhaiengar.

District Forest Officer,
Tumkur District.

Copy forwarded, with compliments, to the Director, Geological Department, for favour of information. As no license for prospecting limestone is in operation on the area, the same has been leased out for the current year to the best advantage of Government.

Sd. B. Narasimhaiengar,

District Forest Officer,
Tumkur District.

Copy.

Director,
C.N. Mysore Geological Department.

Letter No.2123-1, dated 28th September 1927, from the Director, Mysore Geological Department, to the District Forest Officer, Tumkur District.

In acknowledging the receipt of your Memo No.1447,dated 24th September 1927, in re- the confirmation of auction sale of the rights of collecting and removing limestone from the Kudarakanive extension State Forest up to 30th June 1928 for Rs.150/-, I have the honour to state that limestone is a reserved mineral and as such it cannot be leased out. In case however kankar is meant by "limestone", then the proceedings will be all right. I have therefore to request you to kindly forward to this office samples of the "limestone obtained from the leased out area. An early compliance is requested.

Copy.

Director,
Mysore Geological Department.

C.N.

Letter No.1589, dated.4th/7th October 1927, from the District Forest Officer, Tumkur District, to the Director, Mysore Geological Department, Bangalore.

Adverting to your letter No.2123-1, dated 28th September 1927, informing that limestone is a reserved mineral and as such it cannot be leased out etc., I have the honour to state that as per rule IV III of Government Order No.G.2340-13 Geol.12-19-5, dated 12th April 1920, the Forest Department can issue permits for the removal of limestone etc., in Forest areas for one year and as such the right of collecting and removing the same from the Kudrekanve State Forest for one year up to 30th June 1928 has been leased out as already intimated. However the Range Officer, Bukkapatna has been asked to send the sample of the "limestone" from the leased out area to your address as desired.

Copy.

Director,
Mysore Geological Department.

C.N.

A. P. F. VI. G1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section For

File No. 63-27

Serial No. 2

No. 37616-17

Diary No. of letter replied to.....4184

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To The Rev. Conservator
& Chief Conservator
of Forests

Date of issue 23-1-28

Signed by.....

Sir

I am directed to forward herewith copy of letter Nos. 2123-4 of 14-11-27 from the Dir. of Ecol in the matter of the preservation of Kankara Lime-stone by Government for Govt & to request I am to favour with your opinion in the matter.

I have the

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

over

10/1/28

8 Genl 63-27-3

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

No. C.3205/27-28.

Dated Bangalore, 27th April *192* 8

FROM

P. G. D'SOUZA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department, *Bangalore.*

GEN. & REV. SECRETT.
1 - MAY 1928
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

D 9151

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.D.7616-17/Genl.63-27-2 dated the 23rd January 1928 forwarding for my opinion copy of letter No.2123-4 dated the 14th November 1927, from the Director of Geology in the matter of the reservation of Kankery Lime stone and lime stone by Government, I have the honour to state that it is not possible for the Revenue Officers to understand the distinction between the three classes of limestones referred to. After all the quantities removed by the ryots and others requiring limestone do not seem to be considerable. If the limestone of the particular variety required for Bhadravati Iron Works is to be found in any particular areas, it is much better to reserve such areas than to introduce any general prohibition. A large number of poor people earn a precarious living by burning lime and if special difficulties are placed in their way it will affect the general population as a whole. The prospects of starting any large industry with lime stone such as cement works, manure works etc., are very remote and I strongly deprecate the introduction of restrictions which will merely harass the people.

I have the honour to be,

S i r

Your most obedient servant,

Revenue Commissioner.

G.A.

Geol 63-27-4

TEL: ADDRESS
"FORESTCON"

Chief
OFFICE OF THE CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS
IN MYSORE,

No. D 4-2434 27

Dated Bangalore, 20-6-1928.

FROM

B. V. RAMAIENGAR, ESQ., B.A.,
Chief *Conservator of Forests in Mysore.*

DEVELOPMENT BRAN
1 - MAY 1928
SEC. & DEV. DEPTT.

To

Ramdas, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Government, Development
Departments, Bangalore.

D 9153

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.7616-7/Geol/27-2 dated 22nd January last in the matter of the reservation of Hankery lime, I have the honor to state that the Department has no objection for the reservation of the Lime stone as suggested by the Director of Geology only on the expiry of the present lease, which ceases on the 30th June 1928.

But, I may, however, point out that a few people in Hankery are earning out their livelihood by burning this lime stone and supplying the same to other places in the Hankery District. It is just possible that the people may be put to inconvenience by such a reservation. I may, therefore, suggest that the opinion of the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur, may also be obtained.

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most obedient servant,

B V Ramaiengar

Chief Conservator of Forests.

C11/26-4.

26/4

A. F. VI. G1]

10

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Rev

File No. 63-27

Serial No. 5,

No. D/2939-46

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To The Deputy Commissioners of Districts

Date of issue 16/12/28

Signed by Mr V. V. Ramaya

Sir.

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter no. 2123-6 dt 16-11-27 from The Dr. of Geol in the matter of the reservation of Kankeary Lime-stone & Limestone by Govt, and to request you to favour Govt. with Your opinion in the matter,

I have &c

HDP 26/5

For Secy

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

28

over

WD 0325—GPB—83,000—26-5-27

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Genl. 63-27-6

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

In all future communications please quote —
No. C-6-5236/27-28
Enc.

Dated Bangalore, the 13th *June* *1928.*

D 11345

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
15 JUNE 1928
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

FROM

G. B. HEBLIKAR, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

N. Rama Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Development Department, Bangalore.

SUBJECT:— Reservation of Kankari Lime-stone by Government.

SIR,

Adverting to your letter D.12939-46/Genl.63-27-5 dated 6th June 1928, calling for my opinion re the above subject, I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to send a copy of Government order No.G.23401-13/Genl.12-19-5 dated 12th April 1920 referred to in the enclosure as the same is not forthcoming in this office. The Government order is not published in the proceedings volume of the period either.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner.
Bangalore

B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

12

P. F. VI. G1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

.....

Section Genl

File No. 63-27

Serial No.

No. D 13277 Enone

Diary No. of letter replied to 11345

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue 21-6-28

Signed by Asst W. W. Chary

To The D.C. Coorg

~~Secretariat~~

Bangalore

Sir

With reference to your letter No C.6-5232 of 27-28 of 13.6.28 regarding the reservation of Kankara Linga-Mantaka Govt I am directed to forward as desired copy of G.O No. G. 2364-13/Genl 12-19-5 of 12-4-20.

I have the

MP 10/6

Deputy to

over

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

Ecn. 63-27-8 13

D.O. No. A.3-3410/23-28.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KADUR DISTRICT.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT. 11533 21 JUNE 1928 DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

Chikmagalur, dated 15th June 1928.

Dear Mr. Vedavyasa Charya,

Government have called for my opinion in the matter of the reservation of Kankary Limestone and Limestone In this connection, I remember Mr. K. Chandy, when he was then the Revenue Commissioner addressed Government just prior to the issue of Government order No. I.C. 4728-34/Geo.47-22-8 dated 29th January 1923' furnishing his views on the subject.

I will be obliged if you will kindly send me a copy of that communication for my reference if there is no objection.

Please thank and send a copy

WB 21/6

Yours Sincerely,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

To

V. Vedavyasa Charya, Esq., B.A.,

Assistant Secretary to Government,
Development Department,
Bangalore.

A. P. F. VI. G1]

14

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Ecd

File No. 63-27

Serial No. 9

No. D13433 Enone

Diary No. of letter replied to.....11533

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To .....

Date of issue. 26-6-28

Signed by Mr W.T. Chatyn

Ecd - 15-24-1 T

Dear Sir

With reference to Enone D.O. No. Ab - 3410/27-28 dt 15-6-28 I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter No. 1139/23-24 dt 14/18th July 1924 from the Revd Consul in Mysore in the matter of deportation of Kankara Linga Narne

List of enclosures.....

It is presumed that this is the letter which you require for reference

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

Yours faithfully

WDT

23/6

Secy.

The Dy. Consul, Kankara Ot

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Geol.63-27-10

W D 504—GPB—1,000—23-9-22

D.C.4]

No.L.Dis.3410/27-28. OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KADUR DISTRICT.

ENC *Dated Chikmagalur,* 6th July *1928.*

FROM

H. V. Ramasvami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

REVENUE SECRETARIAT
7 JUL 1928
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

To

N. Rama Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore.
Development Deptt, *Bangalore.*

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.D.12939-46 Geol.63-27-5 dated 6th June 1928, calling for my opinion in the matter of the reservation of Kankary Limestone and Limestone by Government, I have the honour to state that I am in agreement with the Director of Geology, and that so long as the collection and removal of Kankar is permitted to the ryots, it does not much matter if the other two are reserved.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

H V Ramasvami

Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

A. P. F. VI. G[illegible]

Ub

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Genl

File No. 63-27

Serial No. # R

No. D 2028-34

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To The Dy Comrs of Districts except Kanara

Date of issue 16/8/28

Signed by..... Mr V. V. Sharyu

Sir

I am directed to invite your attention to this Office letter No. R. 12939-46/Genl-63-27-5 of 6-6-28 in connection calling for your opinion in the matter of the reservation of Kanara Lime stone & Lime stone by Govt & to request that the report may be kindly expedited

Yours etc

for Secretary

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

MP 9/8

No over for Dep. Secy

Genl. 63-27-4

Tel. Address:—Depcom Hassan.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District,

In reply please refer to
No. Ab 3 42-4
Encl:

Dated, Hassan, the 17th August *192*

17 AUG 1928 SECRETARIAT DIARY

20 8 28 MP *From* 20/8

S. ABDUL WAJID, Esquire, B.A.,
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
HASSAN DISTRICT.

To

The Hon'ble Mr. [illegible], I.C.S.,
Secretary to Government, Development Department
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. D. 1 968-46/Geol. 63-27-4 dated the 4th/6th June 1928, calling for my opinion in the matter of the reservation of Kankary limestone and lime stone, by Government, I have the honour to state that these minerals may be reserved by Government.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Abdul Wajid.
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
HASSAN DISTRICT.

Genl. 63-27-12

Divisions.

No. D6. 4427-27
Encl. 1.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Dated Mysore, 21st August 1928.

From

A. V. Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

N. Rama Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
(Development Departments) *Bangalare.*

SUBJECT:—

Reservation of Kankary limestone and Limestone by Government.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. D.12939-46/Genl.63-A.5 dated 4/6th June 1928 calling for my opinion in the matter of reservation of Kankary Limestone and Limestone by Government, I have the honour to state as follows:-

The main point urged in the note of the Director of Geology is that the Lime stone and Kankary Lime stone come under "Minerals" and that a mining lease should be obtained for extracting this mineral as any other. This mineral he says is in great demand by the Iron Works and has been served by various Companies. But as the Government Order of 1920 has exculded it from reserved minerals he wants the Government Order to be withdrawn. The quantities of Limestone and their value are noted in the statement attached. No license has been issued for extraction in the Forest areas of this District. While there can be no objection to the reservation of Kankary Limestone, the reservation of ordinary limestone as a mineral will act as a hardship to the ryots. The quantities extracted by the ryots after all are very small and whether the miner pays royalty to the Revenue Department at four annas per 100 Cubic feet or fee for a mining lease to the Geological Department at 5 per cent on sale value at pit's mouth, the revenue to Government is not materially affected.

I am

I am therefore of opinion that Kankary Limestone may be reserved as a mineral and not ordinary Limestone. This has been reserved already under Government Order No. R. 5442 L.R.287-24 dated the 7th March 1925. There is no need therefore for further changes.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

NRS

Statement showing the out-put and value of Limestone (Kankar used for making burnt lime) in the Mysore District for the year 1927.

Taluk.	Name and locality of mine.	Name of owner or Company working.	Out-put.	value.
Mandya	Mandya	Honniah	2500 C.Ft.	Rs 850/-
Malavalli	Throughout the Taluk.		600 "	" 450/-
Mysore	"		8640 "	" 2880/-
Krishnarajpet	"		4140 "	" 720/-
Nanjangud	Alathur		432 "	" 360/-
	Seethur		2160 "	" 1800/-
	Bilagere		2592 "	" 2160/-
	Goddanpur		2592 "	" 2160/-
	Kowlande		432 "	" 360/-
	Kiragunda		3456 "	" 2880/-
	Chinnamballi		432 "	" 360/-
	Hedathale		432 "	" 360/-
	Halepur		1728 "	" 1440/-
	Nerale		864 "	" 720/-
	Kakkarahalli		1728 "	" 1440/-
	Dasanoor		432 "	" 360/-
	Chikkahamma		432 "	" 360/-
	Sindhuvalli		1728 "	" 1440/-
	Yechagundlu		432 "	" 360/-
	Surahalli		~~1296~~ 2160 "	" ~~1080/-~~ 1800/-
	Navalur		1296 "	" 1080/-
	Upparahalli		432 "	" 360/-
Hunsur	Mankanahalli	P. Ramanna	240 "	" 150/-
	Kujaji	Gowdiah	360 "	" 200/-
	"	Rangiah	300 "	" 170/-
	"	Venkataramaiah	180 "	" 100/-
	Madapur	Gowdiah	360 "	" 200/-
	"	Rangiah	360 "	" 200/-
	"	Venkatiah	360 "	" 200/-
	Attiguppe	Puttiah	360 "	" 200/-

for Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Sept. 63-27-13

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

In all future communications please quote—
No...C.6-5236/27-28.
Enc.

Dated Bangalore, the...27th August 1928

20

GEN. & REV. DEPARTMENT.
21 SEP 1928
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

FROM

G. B. HEBLIKAR, ESQ., B.A., LL.B.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

N. Rama Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Development Department, Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject :—

I have the honour to state that as urged by the Director of Geology lime stone found in State Forests may be reserved to Government and the system of leasing them out may be stopped in view of the importance of this mineral for use in larger industries.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

JSM/-

D.O. No. D4: 2434-27

Mr. B. V. RAMIENGAR,
Chief CONSERVATOR.

Chief CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS
IN MYSORE.
Bangalore 22/9/1928.

Dear Mr. Ranga Rao,

May I request you to kindly intimate the stage at which the question of the reservation of Kankar lime stone throughout the State referred to in my letter No.D4.2434-27 dated 30-4-1928, is pending before Government? As the District Forest Officer, Tumkur states that the Forest revenue is suffering on account of non-issues of licenses for removing lime stone round about Huliyur in the Kudrekanive Extension Forest, I request that orders in the matter may kindly be obtained and communicated to me early.

Yours sincerely,

B. Ramiengar

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

D.O. No. G. 4072/715

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

Dated, Bangalore, 27th Septr. 1928.

29

Dear Mr. Ramaiengar,

In reply to your D.O. letter No. D4-2434-28 dated the 25th instant enquiring about the question of reserving Kankar lime in the State, I write to inform you that the case is dealt with in the Development Secretariat and is pending receipt of replies from some of the Deputy Commissioners.

Yours sincerely,

RG
27/9/28

(Mt)

B.V. Ramaiengar Esq., B.A.,
Chief Conservator of Forests in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Eco 63027-14

In all future correspondence please quote—
No. L.Dss. C. 2418/27-28.
Encls.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KOLAR DISTRICT.

Dated, Kolar, the 25th September 192 8.

27

FROM

A. K. Syed Taj Peeran, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

To

N. Rama Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Development Department,
Bangalore.

Subject:- Kankary Lime stone and Lime stone- reservation to the State .

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. D. 12939-46/Geol, 63-27-5 dated 6th June 1928 calling for my opinion as regards the pro-posal of the Director of Geology to reserve the above minerals to Government, I have the honour to state that as per Notificatio No. R. 5442 L.R. 287-24-1 dated 7th March 1925, "Kankary Limestone which is the product of the weathering of the ordinary Lime Stone has been reserved to Government (Vide note under Rule 18 of the Rule under the Land Revenue Code), and as such, I do not see that any further orders of Government are necessary in the matter.

2. But the case of "Limestone" appears to be different. The definition of the word has not been given in the Revenue Code or Rules and it is not possible to state what are all the different kinds of substances comprised in the term. From the provisions of Rules 18 and 19, Government have conceded the right to remove Kankar - which includes 'lime Kankar' by the villagers for -- bonafide domestic or agricultural purposes. This mineral is chiefly used for making chunam. As the mineral is such a common domestic article required by the villagers, the reservation of

Limestone -

24

Limestone- which term includes lime-kankar- exclusively to Government may perhaps deprive the villagers of their small privilege to remove the mineral even in small quantities I am therefore inclined to think that the removal of this minor privilege may not be justifiable, but a limit to the quantity removable for domestic use may perhaps be placed and its quarrying for larger concerns than for bonafide domestic or agricultural purposes prevented.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient Servant,

Treasury Assistant Commissioner
For Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.
(absent from station)

Dev. B3-29-15

Tel. Address :—Depcom,
CHITALDRUG.

In reply, please refer to
No. A-2.L.G.R.12944/28-29.
Enclosures.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT.

Dated Chitaldrug, the 24th November 1928.

SECRETARIAT
26 NOV 1928
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

26.11.28

4069

FROM

C. S. Kuppuswamiengar Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.

To

N. Rama Rao Esquire B.A.,B.L.,
Secretary to Government,Development Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.D.12939-40 dated 6th June 1928 calling for my opinion in the matter of the reservation of kankary lime stone and lime stone by Government, I have the honor to state that the suggestion contained in the letter of the Director of Geology referred to therein may be approved, bona fide lime manufacturers being permitted to remove lime stone outside the state forest free of royalty as now.

I have the honor to be,
SIR,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.

S.V.

Geol-63-27-16

No L.Dis A2 C 1923/27-28 Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District,

Dated, Shimoga the 26th December 1928

GEN. & REV. DEPARTMENTS.
5168
20 DEC. 1928
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

From

B.T.Kesaviengar, Esquire, B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District

To

N.Rama Rao Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to Government of Mysore,

Law Department.

BANGALORE.

Subject:-

Reservation of Kankary Lime stone and Lime stone by Government.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No D 12939 -46/63-27-5 Geol. dated the 4/6th June 28 forwarding copy of letter dated 14th November 1927 from the Director of Geology on the above subject, I have the honour to state that it is only lime kankar that is chiefly used by the ryots for making chunam and Rule 18 of the Land Revenue Rules allows the grant of licenses for the removal of this stuff. There appears to be no objection for the reservation of kankari Lime stone and Lime stone which are very much ~~is~~ in demand for industrial concern

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

For Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

Geol 63-27-17

No. Sl-2991-27

Enc. .....

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT.

Dated, Tumkur, the 21st April *1930*

FROM

G. B. HEBLIKAR, ESQ., B.A., LL.B.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District, Tumkur.

24 APR 1930
SECRETARIAT BRANCH

To

N. Rama Rao, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of H. H. the
Maharaja of Mysore,
...Development....*Department,*
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. D.12939-46/Geol. 63-27-5 of the 4th June 1929 forwarding for my opinion copy of letter No. 2183-4 dated 14th November 1927 from the Director of Geology in the reservation Kankary Lime-stone and Lime-stone by Government, I have the honour to state that "Kankar" is at present, not reserved and the privilege of its removal under licenses granted by Competent authorities may continue. (vide Note to Rule 18 of the Land Revenue Rules pinted in 1926). The Director of Geology has also agreed to this. As suggested by him, Kankary Lime-stone and Lime-stone may continue to be reserved. In fact "Kankari Lime-stone" has been reserved under the Note to Rule 18 referred to above.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

ASN/21-4

Proceedings of the Govt. of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

---

read -

G.O. No.G2340 1-13/Geol. 12-19-5 dated 12th April 1920 issuing instructions in regard to the grant of licenses for - collecting limestone by the Geological, Revenue and Forest Departments.

2. G.O. No.G.24557-62, Geol. 47-18-11 dated 29th April 1922 directing that limestone and certain other ores and minerals be reserved to Government in the areas specified (limestone, throughout the State) pending further investigation as to the requirements of local industries and the available supplies of material.

Geol 20/8/22
18/8/22

Signed for C.S. Manuel

3. G.O. No.I.G.2341-6/Geol. 47-22-5 dated 6th November 1922 directing the withdrawal of the reservations ordered in the second of the Government Orders read above except in the case of iron, limestone, dolomite, manganese and chrome ores, so as to allow greater scope for private capitalists to utilise commercially the minerals reserved by Government.

4. G.O.No.I.G.4728-34/Geol. 47-22-8 dated 29th January 1923 directing, in modification of the 2nd and the 3rd of the G.O's read above, that iron-ore, limestone, dolomite, manganese and chrome ores be reserved throughout the State.

5. Notification No.R.5442/L.D. 287-24-1 dated 7th March 1925 amending Rule

18 of the Land Revenue Rules by deleting "limestone" from the list of the minerals whose right of removal may be disposed of by the Deputy Commissioners and defining the term kankar used in the said rule, as including "lime-kankar" but not "Kankary limestone"

6. Letter No.2123-4 dated 14th Novr 1927 from the Director of Geology recommend-ing in the circumstances explained the withdrawal of the 1st of the orders read above and the reservation of limestone and Kankary limestone found in all State Forests.

7. Correspondence ~~ending~~ with the Revenue Commissioner, the Chief Conservator of Forests and the Deputy Commissioners of Districts on the proposal of the Director of Geology.

Order No.D. 2. 10- 22/Rev 03-2/18

Dated ~~July~~ 1930.

In the first of the G.O.'s read above, the power conferred on Deputy Commissioners by Rule 18 of the Land Revenue Rules of disposing of the right to remove earth other minerals (including limestone) belonging to Government was restricted to the issue of permits for such removal for a period not exceeding one year at a time, in view of the interests of industrial concerns in the State and in order to pro-

vide

30

for the needs of Government. A similar restriction was also imposed on the - permits issued by the Forest Dept. for the removal of limestone etc., from forest areas.

In the subsequent Government Orders read in the preamble, ~~however,~~ limestone along with certain other minerals was reserved to Govt throughout the State. ~~but~~ The ~~first of the orders~~ earlier order was, however, not ~~at the same time~~ withdrawn, and it is stated that on the strength of ~~the latter~~ this order, ~~therefore, the Director of Geology states~~, the Forest Dept. ~~are still dispensing~~ continue to dispense of the right to remove all kinds of limestone from forest areas. As "Kankary limestone" is ~~very much~~ in demand for the Mysore Iron Works at Bhadravati ~~he~~ the Dir. of Geology suggests withdrawal of the order in question and the reservation of "Kankary limestone" and "limestone" found in all ~~the~~ forest areas. He has, however, no objection to licenses being issued for the removal of "lime kankar" which is generally used for the preparation of "Chunam".

The Chief Conservator of Forests and the Deputy Commissioners of Districts are agreeable to the proposal of the Director of Geology. The Revenue Commissioner ~~is, however,~~ expresses a fear that the reservation of ~~war, against it on the ground that it is~~ difficult to distinguish between the ~~various~~ kinds of limestone (which are reserved) and ~~the permit people who earn a precarious living by~~ burning lime are likely to be put to much ~~trouble and inconvenience.~~ and that for which licenses can be issued.

Kankara limestone and lime stone might cause hardship to people who earn a living by burning lime as it might be.

4.

91

Govt. do not consider that the fear of the Rev. Commissioner is justified. They understand that "kankary limestone" is a superior variety of limestone containing lime, but that its mode of occurrence is different from that of the ordinary "lime ankar" used for preparing "chunam". The former is considered to be the product of the weathering of ordinary limestone whose surface has been converted by chemical action into a sort of porous "Kankar" is said to be a concretionary material occurring in the form of irregular nodules or nodular veins on the weathered surfaces of rocks or found in joints or fissures thereof to a depth of several feet. There would, therefore, appear to be no difficulty in distinguishing the "lime kankar" from the "kankary limestone". Moreover, in the notification read in para 5 of the preamble, both limestone and "kankari limestone" have already been excluded from the purview of Rule 18 of the L.R.R., and this does not seem to have resulted in any hardship.

In the circumstances, therefore, Govt. are pleased to approve the proposal of the Director of Geology and direct that the term "limestone" used in the first of the G.O's read above be taken to include ordinary limestone and "kankari limestone" but not "lime kankar".

3/37

1/8/30 Secy. to Govt. Dev. Deptts.

To

The Director of Geology.
The Chief Inspector of Mines.
The Director of Industries & Commerce.
The Revenue Commissioner.
The Chief Conservator of Forests.
All Collectors of Distts.

P.D 2343

App. F VI G 10.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS

Passes orders regarding the Remuneration of ordinary Limestone and Kankary Limestone ~~in the State~~ throughout the State.

G. O. No. D. 2010-22/Gen.63-27-18 DATED 18.8.30.

May this be

i. printed ? yes

ii. treated as a standing order ? yes

iii. published in the Gazette English Part ? yes

iv. translated ? Yes

v. placed at the disposal of the press ? yes

iv. published in the Gazette Kannada Part ? Yes

MR
Subs for Secy
19/8/30

S. O.

Government of his highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. D. 2010-22—Geol. 63-27-18, dated 18th August 1930.

Reservation of ordinary Limestone and Kankar Limestone.

Passes orders regarding the —— throughout the State.

READ--

Government Order No. G. 23401-13—Geol. 12-19-5, dated 12th April 1920 issuing instructions in regard to the grant of licenses for collecting limestone by the Geological, Revenue and Forest Departments.

2. Government Order No. G. 24557-62—Geol. 47-18-11, dated 29th April 1920 directing that limestone and certain other ores and minerals be reserved to Government in the areas specified (limestone throughout the State) pending further investigation as to the requirements of local industries and the available supplies of material.

3. Government Order No. I. C. 2941-6—Geol. 47-22-5, dated 6th November 1922, directing the withdrawal of the reservations ordered in the second of the Government Orders read above except in the case of iron, limestone, dolomite, manganese and chrome ores, so as to allow greater scope for private capitalists to utilise commercially the minerals reserved by Government.

4. Government Order No I. C. 4728-34—Geol. 47-22-8, dated 29th January 1923, directing, in modification of the 2nd and the 3rd of the Government Orders read above, that iron-ore, limestone, dolomite, manganese and chrome ores be reserved throughout the State.

5. Notification No. R. 5442—L. R. 287-24-1, dated 7th March 1925, amending Rule 18 of the Land Revenue Rules by deleting "limestone" from the list of the minerals whose right of removal may be disposed of by the Depaty Commissioner and defining the term kankar used in the said rule, as including "lime-kankar" but not "Kankary limestone."

6. Letter No. 2123-4 dated 14th November 1927 from the Director of Geology recommending in the circumstances explained the withdrawal of the first of the orders read above and the reservation of limestone and kankary limestone found in all State Forests.

7. Correspondence with the Revenue Commissioner, the Chief Conservator of Forests and the Deputy Commissioners of Districts on the proposal of the Director of Geology.

ORDER NO. D. 2010-22—GEOL. 63-27-18, DATED 18TH AUGUST 1930.

In the first of the Government Orders read above, the power conferred on Deputy Commissioners by rule 18 of the Land Revenue Rules of disposing of the right to remove earth and other minerals (including limestone) belonging to Government was restricted to the issue of permits for such removal for a period not exceeding one year at a time, in view of the interests of industrial concerns in the State and in order to provide for the needs of Government. A similar restriction was also imposed on the permits issued by the Forest Department for the removal of limestone, etc., from forest areas.

In the subsequent Government Orders read in the preamble, limestone along with certain other minerals was reserved to Government throughout the State. The earlier order was however not withdrawn and it is stated that on the strength of this order, the Forest Department continue to dispose of the right to remove all kinds of limestone from forest areas. As "Kankary limestone" is in demand for the Mysore Iron Works at Bhadravati, the Director of Geology suggests the withdrawal of the order in question and the reservation of "Kankary limestone" and "limestone" found in all forest areas. He has, however, no objection to licenses being issued for the removal of "lime kankar" which is generally used for the preparation of "Chunam."

No. D. 2010-22—GEOL. 63-27-18, DATED 18TH AUGUST 1930.

The Chief Conservator of Forests and the Deputy Commissioners of Districts are agreeable to the proposal of the Director of Geology. The Revenue Commissioner expresses a fear that the reservation of Kankary limestone and limestone might cause hardship to people who earn a living by burning lime, as it might be difficult to distinguish between the kinds of limestone which are reserved and that for which licenses can be issued, but Government understand that the occurrence and the appearance of the three kinds of materials are sufficiently distinctive to obviate difficulties in practice. Moreover, in the notification read in para 5 of the preamble, both limestone and "Kankary limestone" have already been excluded from the purview of Rule 18 of the Land Revenue Rules and this does not seem to have resulted in any hardship.

In these circumstances, therefore, Government are pleased to approve the proposal of the Director of Geology and direct that the term "limestone" used in the first of the Government Orders read above be taken to mean "lime kankar" only and in the other Government Orders as including ordinary limestone and "Kankary limestone" but not "lime kankar."

B. NARAYANASWAMI IYENGAR,
Offg. *Secretary to Government,*
Development Departments.

To—The Director of Geology in Mysore.
The Chief Inspector of Mines.
The Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore.
The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
The Chief Conservator of Forests in Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Exd.—C. K.

WD 456 - GPB—375—25-8-30